

Subject: [MICCAI2021 Area Chairs] Reviewer suggestion -To be completed by
Wednesday, March 17 23:59 PST

Dear Area Chairs,

We are now moving into the first phase of the review process as described in <https://miccai2021.org/en/AREA-CHAIR-GUIDELINES.html>.

After preliminary paper triage, we have identified 1630 full paper submissions suitable for double-blind peer-review. We have 96 Area Chairs. Thanks also to your help, we have successfully recruited more than 1300 expert reviewers, who will be asked to review around 5 papers each. Each paper will be reviewed by 3 reviewers.

We have allocated you a set of ~17 papers to look after in this double-blind peer-review process. This was done using automated conflict checking using CMT conflict domains, and automated paper matching based on the Toronto Paper Matching System (TPMS) and your subject areas.

Your next steps are as follows

1. **as soon as possible**: log in and check your assigned papers. If you discover a conflict of interest, anonymization violation, or major formatting issue let us know immediately.
2. **before 17th March: suggest** at least 10-15 potential reviewers to each of the papers assigned to you.

Please refer to <https://miccai2021.org/files/downloads/MICCAI2021-AC-Instructions-Reviewer-Suggestions.pdf> for detailed information on how to suggest reviewers and report major formatting issues.

Best wishes,

MICCAI 2021 Program Chairs